NATIONALISM TODAY

The Radical Voice of British Nationalism

30p

MIAMI RACE RIOT *–See page 9*

In this issue . . .

- Why World Capitalists crawl to Chinese Communists
- The other spongers
- Communists–the mercenary defenders of Capitalism
- Lessons we can learn from the Russian Revolution
- South Africa v the Soviets– A tale of two 'tyrannies'
- Tories back Friedman's Funny Money
- The C.B.I. puts Blacks first
- Sinking the rural roots of Nationalism
- Stateside Today
- The possibilities for the phased development of the National Front

NATIONALISM TODAY

EDITORIAL INFORMATION

Hill House, Huntingfield, Halesworth, Suffolk

Editorial Staff: Joe Pearce
Nick Griffin
Nick Wakeling

Nationalism Today exists to reflect a cross-section of contemporary British nationalist opinion. It is privately published and independent of all political parties and groups, although editorially it supports the National Front.

Unless specifically stated to the contrary, the views expressed in signed articles or letters are the sole responsibility of their authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the Editors or the policies of any political organisation *Nationalism Today* may support editorially.

The appearance of any advertisement in *Nationalism Today* is not necessarily indicative that the Editors have any knowledge of, interest in or support for the product, service, organisation or function advertised.

The Editors are pleased to receive from readers manuscripts of articles for possible publication which should normally be not longer than 1,250 words and typed in double-spacing. No payment is made for articles published, which become *Nationalism Today* copyright unless authors specifically request otherwise at the time they submit their manuscripts. The Editors reserve the right to shorten or otherwise amend articles accepted for publication should shortage of space or editorial judgement require such alteration to be made.

Those wishing to re-print articles from *Nationalism Today* must first gain the permission of the Editors and undertake to include with the re-printed matter the author's name and the name and address of *Nationalism Today*.

EDITORIAL

Issue No. 2

WHO ARE THE *REAL* SPONGERS?

THE LATEST TORY witch-hunt against Social Security 'spongers', avidly taken up by the low brow Tory press, can only be seen as a political red herring.

With unemployment growing rapidly the Tories obviously find it politically expedient to depict all unemployed people as "scroungers". This must also be seen in context with Tory plans to tax unemployment benefits, which logically follows the last two 'Robin Hood in reverse' budgets which stole from the poor in order to give to the rich.

According to the March unemployment figures there were 1,477,666 people unemployed and yet there were only 175,302 vacancies. These figures smash to smithereens the Tory lie that 'there are plenty of jobs about' when simple arithmetic dictates that for 1,302,364 people there are just no jobs available.

The real facts of the matter are that very few people would prefer the uncertainty and poverty of unemployment to a regular job with decent pay and prospects.

This leads to the question – who are the **real** spongers?

If the Tories want to talk about the bill to the nation caused by spongers and cheats then what about the hundreds, if not thousands, of millions of pounds each year fiddled by business firms and businessmen in false and carefully 'cooked' tax statements and expense claims? What about the meals, holidays, club memberships, cars, houses, travel expenses, which are subsidised or wholly paid for at the expense of public funds? If the Tories want to talk about loafers . . . what about the businessmen's days at the golf-links, and the 3 and 4 hour 'business' lunches?

In fact the fiddles engaged in by business and businessmen make the relatively paltry sums fiddled via the Social Security offices seem very small beer indeed.

RHODESIA . . .
WHITE DEFEAT-CAPITALIST VICTORY

WITHIN days of Rhodesia's farcical "Independence Celebrations" and the appointment of a Black President, Oxfam appeals appeared in British newspapers asking for money to buy food and medicine for the new "Zimbabwe". At the same time it was announced that Britain will be pouring millions of pounds of foreign aid into this latest addition to the bottomless drain coyly referred to as the "Third World".

Miraculous isn't it? A state which, when ruled by Whites, never cost Britain a penny, and which exported millions of tons of food to the surrounding shambles of Black Africa, is overnight transformed into a bankrupt, starving and corrupt parasite.

By electing Mugabe, the Blacks have rejected the benevolent paternalism of White civilisation in favour of the merciless twins of Communism and Finance Capitalism.

The future of Rhodesia, like the rest of Africa, will be a steady collapse into barbarism under Black Marxist tyrants who, in return for the trappings of power, will front for the real rulers – multi-national corporations such as Lonhro (who financed the baby-butchers of the Patriotic Front) and Mr. Oppenheimer's Anglo-American (which controls the South African liberal press and has worked tirelessly to undermine White morale and political will) – as they plunder the country's great mineral wealth.

Perhaps one cannot blame the simple and politically naive Blacks for voting as they did. The fault lies rather with the alien influences which, as in the rest of the world, have worked for years to undermine the healthy instinct of White racialism. Even more to blame are those Whites, such as Ian Smith, who knew what was going on, but were prepared to sell their birthright for "respectability" and a few years of economic growth. Let us shed no tears when they get neither.

Rhodesia is now finished. The New Unhappy Lords have won that round and are already turning their eyes and Black dupes on South Africa. Let us hope that the Afrikaners can resist the cancer of liberalism until we are in a position to come to their aid. **Time is running out – are WE equal to the challenge?**

HOME AFFAIRS

INDUSTRY, UNEMPLOYMENT AND THE ECONOMY

THE COMMUNIST/CAPITALIST AXIS

THE RECENT Barking £4,000,000 silver bullion robbery brought some interesting facts to light.

The 321 silver ingots originated from East Germany and were on their way back there when stolen.

Police named the owners of the load as Samuel Montagu, a leading merchant bank which specialises in silver bullion deals.

But the bank – part of the Midland group – refused to discuss its involvement, as did the bullion's insurers, the East German state insurance company.

One explanation for the fact that the silver had passed through London between East Germany was that it had been brought here during the recent wave of precious metal speculation.

It is interesting to note how supposedly Communist countries engage in the thoroughly capitalist practice of speculation, and how the capitalist banking fraternity, supposedly the arch-adversaries of Communism, are always on hand to assist them.

BRITISH SHIPYARDS SCUPPERED AGAIN

LOSSES on a £112 million contract under which British shipyards are to build merchant ships for Soviet-occupied Poland have now reached £40 million. This is in addition to a £28 million subsidy on the deal being paid by the British taxpayer. When built, the ships will add to the growing Soviet Imperial Merchant Fleet, which has been deliberately running at a loss in the hope of bankrupting the merchant navies of the West.

So not only are British workers in the shipbuilding industry being forced to build ships to undercut and put out of work their fellow British workers in the Merchant Navy, but they are doing so under contracts which threaten to bankrupt their own yards and throw them on the dole too. Nationalist trades unionists in the affected shipyards, Scotstoun, Glasgow, and Robb Caledon, Dundee, should call for this suicidal Polish contract to be blacked now, before any more damage is done to their job prospects.

TORY DOLE LIES EXPOSED

ONE of the many lies peddled by this Tory Government is that many people are better off on the dole and that they prefer this to working.

Those readers who are unemployed, or have recently been unemployed will laugh at such a ridiculous suggestion. Nevertheless the Tories have cited this as one of their reasons for taxing unemployment benefits.

The Tory claims, however, are disproved by Whitehall's own official figures.

To be "better off on the dole" a family with one or two children would have to earn as little as £35 a week, and a four child family £45. And only about 100,000 men out of Britain's total workforce of 22 million are in that category.

The official figures also show that for most families, unemployment meant a big drop in living standards.

In fact people are not claiming the benefits due to them. Unclaimed benefits in 1977 alone amounted to £340 million.

The vast majority of unemployed people are not 'workshy scroungers' – but the victims of corrupt and internationalist policies and politicians.

COMMUNISM

KEIR HARDIE

TROTSKYITES SMEAR BRITISH SOCIALIST

KEIR HARDIE, the early socialist pioneer, comes in for some strong criticism in *Socialist Review*, the SWP's glossy monthly.

According to the Trotskyites of the SWP, Keir Hardie was "personally anti-semitic, made scandalous remarks about the Irish – 'a big shovel, a strong back, and a weak brain' – and prefaced every comment on India with: the natives are not *yet* fit. He was a defender of an all-white Australia."

The racialist views of early British socialists such as Hardie, Blatchford and Hyndman contrast vividly with the multi-racialist ravings of today's self-appointed representatives of the British working class.

The socialism of the early British pioneers was of a 'national' variety with a genuine concern for the improvement in working and living conditions of the ordinary British working people.

Today the 'socialism' of organisations such as the SWP is international, and in marked contrast to the early British socialists, they are motivated solely by a desire to plonk their bourgeois bottoms on the dictator's throne.

A QUESTION OF PRIORITIES

THE Government's 'anti-inflation' policy – which is unique if only for the fact that it has increased inflation – has caused interest rates to soar.

While ordinary working people, firms and local authorities are losing out, the banks are having a profit bonanza.

The High Street banks – Barclays, Lloyds, Midland and National Westminster – made profits totalling more than **£1½ billion** last year. On average their profits increased by more than 42 per cent.

But the Government seems unwilling to bring in a special tax to ensure that the banks' excess profits are used for the public good. While they are refusing to act in this regard, vital public services, particularly in the fields of welfare and education, are facing savage cutbacks.

So who should benefit from the banks' windfall? The banks' rich shareholders? . . . or the elderly, the sick, the poor, and our children?

The Tories obviously have their priorities, and we as Nationalists, with a genuine concern for the British people, certainly have ours.

IMMIGRANTS AND IMMIGRATION

No Tory 'Cuts' for Illegal Immigrant Education

WHILE British school students face a severe reduction in the quality of their education due to Tory 'cuts', the Home Office has unveiled plans for a scheme to give English lessons to illegal immigrants awaiting deportation.

The English course is planned to take place at Ashford Remand Centre, Middlesex where there are 30 immigrant detainees.

However, Prison Officers at the Centre are protesting about the plans. One of them, Mr. Malcolm Thomas, said: "When the Government is cutting education outside prison, it is nonsensical for them to hire a teacher and spend money educating adults who have no right to be here."

This is just one more example of the scandalous waste of public money by the corrupt and decadent Tory Government.

Our magazine, though it believes in racial differences, opposes race-hatred. All those who oppose multi-racialism should attack the politicians who promote it, not the immigrants, who are merely its victims.

HOME AFFAIRS

COMMON MARKET

EEC IMPORTS SINKING OUR FISHING INDUSTRY

THE BRITISH Fishing Federation recently warned that uncontrolled and illegal fishing by French, West German and Danish fleets threatens the very existence of Britain's fishing industry.

A large increase in imports of cod and dumping of fish on the British market by foreign fleets has given British fishermen no alternative but to sell their produce at uneconomic prices.

Cod fillet imports from France rose by over 500 per cent last year, those from West Germany by 300 per cent, and those from Denmark by 190 per cent.

Coupled with this Britain's long-distance trawler fleet has declined dramatically over the past five years – the number of active vessels has fallen from 100 to 28 in Hull and from 153 to 14 in Grimsby since 1975.

Intensive fishing, particularly by Germany and France, has exceeded the sea's capacity to replenish stocks. The result of this is that employment in the British fishing industry has been hit. Small rural communities in England and Scotland which depend heavily on fishing are particularly vulnerable.

The British fishing industry is of vital importance to our obtaining self-sufficiency in food production, and it must be saved.

Britain must get out of the Common Market, in order to stop the importation and dumping of fish, and declare exclusive British national rights to a 200 mile limit.

The British fishing industry: threatened with extinction.

THE ARTS

What a load of rubbish!

IT WAS inevitable that Britain's economic and political decline into a mere principality of the new feudal system of "American" Finance Capitalism would be mirrored in other areas of our national life and nowhere is this more clear than in the arts.

A good example of this was last month's exhibition in London's Pentonville Gallery.

The "art" in question consisted of the work of "American" G. Roy Levin and was simply a collection of his household rubbish framed; boxed and photographed! According to Levin, the exhibition asked "whether obsessiveness transcends mere neurosis by producing art?"

That a rootless cosmopolitan like Levin is a self-confessed obsessive neurotic should come as no surprise. What, however, is still surprising is that the land which produced the likes of Shakespeare, Constable and Elgar, should have sunk so deeply into the mire of alien materialism and degeneracy that it can take this sort of rubbish seriously.

The Viking Exhibition

THE MOST spectacular and comprehensive exhibition ever mounted on the Vikings opened at the BRITISH MUSEUM on 14th February. It is running for five months until 20th July and reflects the growing knowledge of the Vikings and their period. Many exciting and significant objects, mostly never seen before in this country, have been lent by museums in Germany, Iceland and Scandinavia, while the artefacts from Britain include the superb Sutton Hoo treasure.

Visitors can buy a wide range of publications and replicas and, most important, will see an exhibition which will not be repeated for many years. As the grip of the Culture Distorters tightens, we are more likely to be subjected to displays of "Third World Culture" than to exhibitions such as this, which can only encourage pride in our Nordic ancestors.

More Common Market Robbery

THE THIEVING hands of Britain's Common Market partners want to grab a share of Britain's North Sea Oil.

At a recent meeting of EEC energy ministers in Brussels, France and West Germany led demands for a share of the oil "in the event of supply problems".

North Sea Oil, as a precious natural resource, must not be allowed to be stolen from us by the Common Market. It must be kept for domestic use, and all revenue from it must be used to finance the development of alternative energy sources.

OVERSEAS AID

BRITAIN GIVES INDIA ANOTHER £114 Million

WHILE Mrs. Thatcher and Sir Keith Joseph were busy cutting still more of our health and welfare services, Mr. Neil Marten, Minister of State for Overseas Development, was racing around the "Third World" looking for ways to spend the resulting savings.

In India alone Mr. Marten has just agreed to hand over a further £114 million in foreign aid. Presumably he doesn't have a little daughter dying for want of a kidney machine.

The money will be used to build a fertiliser plant, modernise India's coal mines and to finance a number of "other projects". Let us hope that they include, as usual, a number of private Swiss bank accounts for "Third World" leaders, because if the money is actually used to expand Indian industry, we can look forward to more British workers losing their jobs to the even cheaper foreign imports that will result.

Thatcher's Government has also given £100 million to Pakistan; £2 million to Zaire; £100 million to Sri Lanka; and £82 million to Zimbabwe.

HOME AFFAIRS

NORTHERN IRELAND

'REPUBLICANS PLOTTED TO WRECK PEACE TALKS'

THE ULSTER 'Constitutional Conference', which began on January 7th amid hopes that it might produce some agreement on devolved government for the Province, ended in failure in the third week in March.

Three of the four major Ulster parties took part. The left wing, Republican Social Democratic and Labour Party attended ostensibly to negotiate a form of *"power-sharing"* between the *"two communities"* (i.e. loyal Britons and Republican traitors). The *'moderate'* Alliance Party attended the talks because it will attend any talks, with anyone, being, like the Westminster Government, in favour of talks in principle, especially as a substitute for action. Its influence is minimal outside the fashionable suburbs of Belfast's Malone Road and parts of North Down. The staunchly Loyalist Democratic Unionist Party attended in the hope of fulfilling the desire of the majority of Ulstermen for some sort of devolved government to replace the present colonial rule by decree of the Secretary of State. The DUP would, in all probability, win a majority in such a local body which would enable them to speak for the people of Ulster in demanding what most loyal Ulster folk want: a restoration of the democratic, majority rule Parliament which once sat at Stormont until the Tories betrayed it, with control over locally-recruited security forces enabling the IRA scum to be ruthlessly rooted out once and for all. The crumbling, reactionary and corrupt Official Unionist Party, stripped of any authority to speak for Ulster following its humiliating defeat by the DUP in the Common Market Elections last year, struck a *'hard-line Loyalist'* pose by refusing to attend and speak to the SDLP. Since the Official Unionists were willing not only to speak to the SDLP but to share power with them and join their crypto-republican 'Council of Ireland' a few years ago, this pose only made their hypocrisy and political bankruptcy more obvious to all.

THE REAL TRUTH EMERGES

The breakdown of the talks was, predictably, blamed by the media on the DUP and the *'intransigence'* of its leader, Rev. Dr. Ian Paisley, MP. For example, the *Times* on March 19th said: "The Rev. Ian Paisley's hard-line stand on power-sharing has contributed most to the air of despondency surrounding the conference." The other papers, radio, and TV joined in this chorus.

But on March 21st, the real truth emerged from a very surprising source. That source was none other than Gerry Fitt, MP for West Belfast, one of the founders of the SDLP and its leader for the last ten years. Mr. Fitt who, though certainly no Loyalist has earned considerable respect as a man of personal integrity, resigned from the SDLP last November in protest at the party's increasing slide into overt Irish Republicanism and links with the IRA.

Mr. Fitt revealed that public statements by the SDLP that it was sincerely seeking a compromise with Dr. Paisley and the DUP were, in his words, "completely untrue". Mr. Fitt revealed that the SDLP had a secret plan that if Dr. Paisley were to agree to power-sharing they would say that this was not enough and demand a Council of Ireland with Southern Irish government representatives exercising control over Ulster's internal affairs, knowing that this would be unacceptable to any Loyalist or indeed any Briton with an ounce of self-respect and patriotism and the talks would break down. By contrast, Mr. Fitt said that whilst Dr. Paisley had in no way backed down on his 100% Loyalist stand "he had at least been genuine in seeking agreement".

Concluded Mr. Fitt: "The talks had no chance of success at all. The whole exercise was to force Mr. Paisley into a corner with him rejecting power-sharing and the SDLP emerging with credibility."

TREACHERY

Whilst it thus stands revealed as yet another example of the treachery, lying and perfidy of the minority of Republican traitors in the British province of Ulster, and another argument for kicking the lot of them out to their beloved Southern Ireland if they will not obey the law and act as loyal Britons like the vast majority of Ulster people, the breakdown of the latest talks will no doubt be represented in a very different light by the professional liars of the media. It will be represented as another consequence of the inability of *"the Irish"* to agree amongst themselves, the entirely irrelevant question of religion will be dragged forth again to show how *"mediaeval"* the whole thing is, and it will be used to justify the whinings of those cowards and traitors who argue that we on the British mainland "wash our hands of the lot of them", and withdraw *"our"* troops (which, of course, are Ulster's troops too).

IAN PAISLEY

Now that you know the truth, that these talks, like those before them, have been deliberately wrecked by the minority of wreckers who will accept no *'peace plan'* or *'negotiated settlement'* other than the conquest of British Ulster by Republican Ireland, it is up to you to argue in workplace, pub and home the case for standing by our fellow Britons in Ulster. **For 300 years they have stood by us on the mainland, loyally supporting us in time of trouble and gallantly fighting alongside us in time of war. Now, when they are in trouble and threatened by the foreign foe, can we not, ought we not, to stand by them as they so steadfastly stood by us?**

Having a party, wedding etc.? Is your Branch holding a social? ***Need a DJ?*** If so, make sure that you choose a Nationalist DJ. Write to the Front Roadshow, NT Box 76, Hill House, Huntingfield, Halesworth, Suffolk. Political and non-political socials accepted.

RAILWAYMEN!

Are you a worker on British Rail or on the tubes? You are! Great! Then you should join the National Front Railwaymen's Association. NFRA, c/o Excalibur House, 73 Great Eastern Street, London EC2.

WORLD AFFAIRS

SOUTH AFRICA

White Workers Fight Government Multi-Racialism

MR. ARRIE PAULUS, secretary of the South African Mine Workers' Union, has called on the South African Government to cease its attempts to reform South Africa's labour laws to the disadvantage of White workers.

The South African Minister of Mines, F. W. de Klerk, told the miners that the Government measures were necessary to "reduce Black unemployment" and (the real reason) "to promote dynamic economic growth" (international capitalists using cheap Black labour to undercut White Trades Unionists and boost their profits – the same reason they brought the Blacks into Britain).

Mr. Paulus replied that "Some 80% of South Africa's Black mineworkers come from neighbouring countries. If they are barred from South Africa there will be enough work for all South African Blacks." He added that Whites were paying high taxes to give Blacks independent states, and re-affirmed his Union's commitment to the racial nationalist policy of separate development of the races ("apartheid").

A sub-committee of the all-White Confederation of Labour (the South African TUC) headed by Mr. Paulus has recommended that all White Trades Unionists unite in opposition to the Government's new multi-racialist "labour reforms".

LAST ROUND-UP FOR SOVIET NOMADS

ON THE 25th February, the Soviet dictatorship decreed that all gypsies and nomadic peoples (such as Eskimos and Lapps) within their domain should be forcibly rounded up and herded into labour camps for *"vocational training"*. This is to save the time of the secret police, who find it difficult and expensive to follow reindeer herders over the frozen North and gypsies along country lanes, and also because nomads retain a degree of peronal freedom in that they can strike camp and move around at will which is unacceptable in the regimented antheap planned for the USSR. In labour camps, these people can be spied upon more efficiently, kept under control more easily, and forced to speak Russian like all the other subject peoples of the Soviet Empire.

It is interesting to note that one of the allegations made about the German Nazis by Communists in Britain today is that they forced gypsies to live in labour camps, and they also say that the National Front is going to do the same.

MORE BUTTERING UP THE BEAR

DESPITE Europresident Roy Jenkins' much-publicised block on the sale of butter on the cheap to the Soviets, the Reds will still be able to buy butter provided it is wrapped in packets of a pound or less. The Soviets get a subsidy of 50p a pound on packaged butter, paid for by – guess who? – the *"Eurotaxpayer"*.

Of the 140,000 metric tonnes of butter sold dirt cheap by the EEC to the Reds, France provided most (60,000 tonnes) and Ireland coughed up 20,000 tonnes. We sent *"several thousand tonnes"*.

"WHITE AUSTRALIA IS NOW HISTORY"

EMIGRATION enquiries at the Australian and New Zealand High Commissions in London have more than doubled during the present economic and industrial crisis in Britain. But what kind of place will these emigrants be going to?

According to an official of the Australian Immigration Board, the "White Australia" policy is now history. There is no colour bar in Australia and there is no selection of emigrants on the basis of national origin or race.

"We buried the White Australia Policy years ago," he answered a West Indian reporter during a visit to Britain, "and in fact we are on recruiting visits to Indonesia, Malaysia, Singapore and Japan."

EURO-BROTHER IS WATCHING YOU!

COMPUTERS throughout the Common Market were linked into a single network on February 13th. The new *"Euronet-Diane"* system, which was opened in Strasbourg amid much pomp and ceremony by EEC President Roy Jenkins and "European Parliament" President Simone Veil, links 23 separate networks. It will enable any subscriber in any EEC country to call up *"scientific, technical, social and economic information"* from anywhere in the EEC. This serves to deepen the widely-held concern about the extent to which personal information stored in computers is available to people it does not concern, and increases the risk of your intimate medical or personnel records spewing forth from computer consoles from Sardinia to Stavanger.

The country that was mugged

THE UGANDAN GOVERNMENT of President Godfrey Binaisa has appealed for international aid to save the lives of its starving citizens, currently dying at the rate of several thousands each week. Any food sent, however, is widely expected to find its way into the bellies of 20,000 Tanzanian troops, who have been occupying Uganda since they overthrew President Idi Amin last June. The Tanzanian Army, which apparently is not being paid, seems to be currently engaged in 'mugging' Uganda. Looting, pillaging, rape and murder by drunken Tanzanians have been reported from all over Uganda, except the Northern provinces, where remnants of Amin's army are looting, pillaging and raping, as well as cattle-rustling, instead. Hundreds of Ugandans who complained about the activities of the occupying Tanzanian forces have simply vanished, and 400 inhabitants of a village in which two Tanzanian soldiers were stoned to death for looting beer and cassette tape recorders (strange possessions for "starving peasants"!) were marched off to a Tanzanian barracks, never to be seen again. The Tanzanians have announced their intention of remaining in Uganda "for at least the next two years", by which, at the present rate, there will be no Ugandans left.

WIDER STILL AND WIDER . . .

The conquest of Uganda is only the latest stage in the expansion of the Empire of Zanzibar. In 1964, the Negroes of that island revolted, exterminated the Arab minority and, in collusion with the would-be dictator of neighbouring Tanganyika, Julius Nyerere, overran Tanganyika. The "Union of Tanzania" was set up, with a "one-party democracy" run by Negroes and Zanzibarian tyrant Karume. Since then, with Chinese aid, a brutal quasi-Communist dictatorship has been set up. Tens of thousands of Tanganyikan villagers have been uprooted from their tribal homelands and herded into concentration camp "collective farms", and political opponents are shot. Meanwhile dictator Nyerere has kept up a constant barrage of abuse against the "denials of human rights" in Southern Africa, as well as aiding murderous terrorists. Despite his own conquest of Uganda, he continues to denounce White "imperialism" in the General Assembly of the UN, in which, like Britain and the USA, he has one vote (but unlike the Soviet Union, which has no less than three votes!).

SOUTH AFRICA v. THE SOVIETS

A TALE OF TWO 'TYRANNIES'

THE PRESENT OUTCRY over the proposed holding of the 1980 Olympic Games in the Soviet Imperial capital, Moscow, has largely overshadowed another interesting aspect of the attitude of the International Olympic Committee, and sporting bodies generally, to the *"sport and politics"* question. For it is interesting to compare and contrast the situation in two countries treated very differently by the IOC, and world liberalism generally, the "Union of Soviet Socialist Republics" and the Republic of South Africa.

South Africa is, basically, a democracy. Mindful of the instant barbarism which has followed upon such a step elsewhere in Africa, the SA Government has not given the vote to Negroes, but Whites enjoy much the same democratic rights in South Africa as they do here. There is a legal, pro-multi-racialist Opposition Party, and the South African equivalents of Sakharov and Solzhenitsyn sit not in jail or in exile, but in the SA Parliament. Anyone, whatever their race, has the right of peaceful opposition to the Government and any or all of its policies. The Soviet Union, in contrast, is blatantly a dictatorship. Only one political party is allowed, and opposing the Government's policies is a serious criminal offence, *"anti-Soviet activity"*, carrying the death penalty. Even mild criticism of the Government risks jail or exile for *"slandering the Soviet state"*. These dictatorial edicts are openly published as part of the body of Soviet Law.

In the Soviet Union, the State controls all the media, newspapers, radio and TV, and totally determines the contents. Outrageous and absurd lies are commonplace, and inconvenient facts are simply not reported. Foreign journalists, and visitors generally, are not free to move about: they are subject to official "guidance", and entire cities, such as Gorky (Nizhni Novgorod), and areas larger than Britain, are strictly "out of bounds" to all foreigners. In South Africa, the English-language press, far from being state-controlled is almost entirely owned by Harry Oppenheimer, a strong opponent of the regime who also funds the liberal Opposition "Progressive Federal Party". When pro-Government groups tried to finance an English-language newspaper in support of the State's policy, it led to a scandal, the *"Muldergate Affair"*, and the resignation of the Head of State in response to attacks from a hostile South African Press. No Soviet President, or Party Chairman, has ever resigned because of attacks in *"Pravda"!*

Much is heard of alleged oppression as a result of South Africa's policy of racial separate development. Virtually nothing is heard of the Soviet policy of deporting or physically exterminating its enemies of all races. Everyone has heard of Soweto, but who has heard of the wastes of Northern Siberia, where the Volga Germans were sent as slave labour, or the deserts of Mongolia, to which the Crimean Tartars, descendants of Genghis Khan's hordes, were repatriated? Liberals often bewail the deaths of 13 Black rioters in Sharpville in 1961, but they are silent on the extirpation of 14,500 Poles at Katyn Forest in 1940, to say nothing of the twenty million gassed, worked to death and shot by the same Government that today rules the Soviet Empire. The South African Government may not grant its Black citizens what liberals believe to be their "full human rights", but neither does it enslave them, dump them naked by the million in the middle of the Kalahari desert, or shoot entire tribes, as the Soviets wiped out the Chechens.

SOVIET "APARTHEID"

The South African Government is granting its non-White tribes their homelands and their independence: two, the Xhosas of the Transkei and the Tswanas of Bophutatswana, are now, as far as South Africa is concerned, sovereign independent states. The Soviets force their non-White tribes to speak Russian and adopt Russian dress and culture, and forcibly disperse and exile them if they resist. The tens of millions of Uzbeks, Turkmens, Samoyeds, Siberians, Mongols, Circassians, Kirghiz and other non-Whites are no more represented in the all-White Politburo than the Blacks are in Mr. Botha's Cabinet. Liberals claim that South Africa's "independent homelands" policy is a sham as the Whites will keep most of the land area, and all the best parts, of South Africa. They ignore the fact that the White-ruled "Russian Soviet Federal Socialist Republic", though officially "just one of the 15 equal Republics of the Soviet Union", just happens to include 76% of the land area of the USSR, including nearly all the mineral, lumber and oil reserves. The remaining 44% of the population, mostly non-White, is crammed into 24% of the land, land which, except for the (White) Ukraine, is virtually entirely worthless steppe and desert. In some White areas of Russia, notably the "Soviet Riviera" playground of the Crimea, non-Whites are expressly forbidden to buy houses, which are advertised as "For Sale to Russians only" (Mr. Robert Relf was jailed under Britain's Race Relations Act for putting up a similar notice in Leamington Spa, but apparently it is all right in Sebastopol!).

Much is made of the restrictions imposed on South African Blacks by the "Pass Laws". It is less often pointed out that Soviet citizens can only live where the State grants them a "residence permit" to live and that they must apply for an "internal passport" to travel more than 50 miles from their home town.

Although South Africa is often described by liberals and leftists as "a police state", the worst the South African police have ever been accused of is shooting a few dozen Black rioters. Not even Peter Hain has suggested they ever deliberately rolled over women and children in tanks, as the Soviets did at the Kingir Concentration Camp, Soviet Central Asia, on 26th June 1954, nor machine-gunned striking railway workers staging a peaceful mass walk-out, as the Soviet Police did at Novocherkassk in 1962. Nelson Mandela and a couple of hundred subversives, many convicted in courts of law of terrorists offences, interned on Robben Island, scarcely compare with the estimated million Soviet political prisoners in slave labour camps in the Siberian Arctic.

Nor, though South Africa's neighbours are militarily weak and openly boast of their active support to terrorist organisations inside South Africa, has South Africa invaded them, as the Soviets have attacked and invaded, without provocation, every single state with which they share a border, occupying, enslaving and incorporating into its Empire most of them. And, when South African troops did intervene in a civil war in Angola, they swiftly withdrew under international pressure, unlike the Soviets in Afghanistan. Unlike the Soviets in Afghanistan also, the South Africans in Angola did not spray villages full of women and children with nerve gas. The South Africans – unfortunately perhaps – do not maintain throughout the world a network of subversive political organisations aimed at establishing their political system in other peoples' countries.

Finally, for all its alleged tyrannies, "violations of human rights" etc., the Republic of South Africa doesn't have to surround itself, like a vast concentration camp, with thousands of miles of electric fences, minefields, walls and guards to keep its people, White or Black, from leaving. Indeed, many thousands of Blacks living with their "human rights" beyond liberal reproach in surrounding Black-ruled states flood across the border each year to live and work under the "vicious apartheid police-state tyranny" of South Africa. Meanwhile, before the Soviets built their Wall aginst the World across the middle of Europe, millions upon millions of Eastern European fled the "workers' paradise" of "people's democracy", and even today many ordinary working men and women risk, and often lose, their lives in desperate bids to get out of the "socialist countries".

Now this journal does not adopt a simplistic "East v. West" view of World Affairs. As Racial Nationalists we are concerned solely with the interests of our own White Race. So we view the internal policies of other countries from that standpoint, and judge them according to whether they serve or do not serve the interests of the White Race. Therefore, for example, we would

Continued on page 8

SOUTH AFRICA v. THE SOVIETS

Continued from page 7

applaud the Soviet Government when it secures the best parts of the land under its control for Whites, and sends Mongol racial aliens out of Europe and back to the Central Asian wastes from which they came. And we would condemn the South African Government when it grovels – in our view uselessly – to its multiracialist enemies by dismantling essential legal barriers to inter-racial mixing.

But nevertheless, it can be seen from the above catalogue of facts, all of them a matter of checkable public record, that judged from a **liberal** standpoint, according to **liberal** values of "racial equality", "human rights", "freedom" etc., when South Africa is compared with the Soviet Union, the Soviet Union is clearly by far the worst "moral offender". On every charge the liberals lay against South Africa, the Soviet Union is beyond doubt infinitely more guilty. Everything liberals say that South Africa does wrong, the Soviets do far "wronger".

So we may well ask in view of this, and in view of the abundance of liberals on Lord Killanin's International Olympic Committee, why on the most liberal moral grounds, even disregarding their oft repeated (recently, at least) determination to "keep politics out of sport", South Africa has been prevented from even taking part in the Olympics since 1960, whilst the Soviet Union has not only participated, even in the darkest days of Stalin, but is now chosen for the honour of hosting this year's Games?

THE OTHER SPONGERS

THE TERM 'work-shy spongers' is one heard a lot nowadays, especially in reactionary Tory circles. Usually it is applied to White workers, unemployed through no fault of their own and unable to find work because the incompetence of Tory management has led to their works being closed down, or because the race-treason of both Tory and Labour Governments has led to their job being stolen from them by Coloured Immigrants willing to work long hours in rotten conditions for low pay.

But there is another kind of work-shy sponger we hear rather less of in the dronings of Tory MPs and the sermonisings of Tory newspaper editors. An example of this kind of sponger is provided by one Clive Mackay-Kemp. Mackay-Kemp, the son of an American beer magnate father and an English horse-breeder mother, is known as 'Diamond Lil' to his cronies because of his habit of adorning his unprepossessing carcase with jewellery and full length mink coats. 18 months ago this worthless drone was declared bankrupt, having squandered his inheritance of £200,000 on 'high living'. However, far from getting a job, as us ordinary mortals are forced to do, if we can find one, Mackay-Kemp intends maintaining himself in the style to which he is accustomed by selling his memoirs, which are expected to become a best-seller amongst those with a prurient interest in the cavortings of the degenerate rabble making up the 'in' set. Says Mackay-Kemp: "I've never done a day's work in my life and I don't intend to start now."

OYSTERS AND CAVIAR

June is a particularly good month for spotting upper-class spongers as they return from their 'tax exile' in Monte Carlo and throw lavish parties, at which the assembled social parasites drink themselves sick on champagne and interrupt the stuffing of their guts with oysters and caviar only to haw-haw loudly at the vast sums they have dodged paying to their country. One classic specimen thus returning is Milford Jermyn, heir to the Marquis of Bristol. This creature apparently favours velvet cloaks, "lashings of French cologne" and effeminate hairstyles. According to one of its hangers-on, Jermyn "is coming over in great style . . . he's become quite outrageous while he's away." Another such worthless idler is the 19 year-old son of the Marquis of Cholmondeley, Lord Rocksavage. Having spent his time at Eton playing women's roles in school plays he (?) now is said to "collect high-camp antiques".

While British steelworkers, some of whom have laboured all their lives at blast furnace and rolling mill, interrupting their daily toil only to fight and risk their lives for their country at the battlefront, are insulted with pathetic pay offers and thrown with their families onto the grudging pittance of the dole, these well-born bums wallow in wealth they did not earn and are prepared to skulk abroad most of the year to keep from sharing it. Whilst British workers queue for their unemployment benefit the idle rich disport themselves in vulgar and ostentatious flauntings of their ill-gotten wealth such as the forthcoming 21st birthday ball given by the Duchess of Westminster, at which 500 guests have been invited to "dance the night away" at Eaton Hall, Cheshire, and which is expected to cost Her Grace at least £30,000. Unlike any British workers who attempted such a fling, it is considered unlikely that the revellers will be kicked out by the Police at closing time, nicked for being drunk and disorderly or mugged by gangs of Negroes on the way home.

PONCING ARISTOCRATS

Unlike the Tories, radical British Nationalists oppose all those who deliberately and by choice sponge on our society. Most of these social parasites are not British workers, they are Coloured Immigrants or the Rich. The amount of tax dodged every year far exceeds the amount of social security fiddled. We don't object to people enjoying money they have earned by their own hard work, but poncing aristocrats and 'heirs' have no right to live it up on the ill-gotten gains of antediluvian warlords who happened to kiss the right backside in some mediaeval power struggle or Victorian plutocrats who waxed fat on the sweated labour of British men, women and children, and still are doing it. When we come to power, we will put an end to these scroungers.

If they flee to their tax havens abroad we will strip them of their British citizenship and seize their assets here in the name of the British people. If they stay, we will make them earn their keep by finding them an honest day's work at whatever job their talents fit them for, be it only digging roads or shovelling sewage. **In the racial folk-community we shall build, no man shall live off the sweat of another man's brow, and every man will owe his wealth and position to his own abilities and efforts, not those of his ancestors.**

ARE YOU AN ACTIVE MEMBER?

Are you an active member
The kind that would be missed
Or are you just contented
That your name is on the list?

Do you attend your meetings
And mingle with the crowd
Or do you stay at home
Just moaning long and loud?

Do you take an active part
To help the boys along
Or are you satisfied to be
The kind 'Just to belong'?

There is quite a programme scheduled
Which means success if done
And it can be accomplished
With the help of everyone.

So attend your meeting regular
And help with hand and heart
Don't just be a stand by member
But take an active part.

Think this over brother
Are we right or are we wrong
Are you an active member
Or do you just belong?

Reprinted with acknowledgements from COMBAT, journal of the Ulster Volunteers

Read *British News*, the newspaper that exposes communism. Send 20p for sample copy to the Editor, *British News*, 316 Stanks Drive, Leeds 14, Yorkshire.

READ NATIONAL FRONT NEWS.
Send 20p for sample copy to National Front, PO Box 163, London EC2.

BULLDOG
Paper of the Young National Front. Send 25p for sample copy to YNF, PO Box 163, London EC2.

STATESIDE TODAY

U.S. EDUCATION —WE'RE NEXT

MANY TRENDS in British society have developed over here some years after they first emerged across the Atlantic. From chewing gum to "women's lib", from hamburgers to Negro muggings, it has all too often been a case of what America does today, Britain does tomorrow.

So for the sake of the future of our children, it is to be hoped that the present education system in the US does not reflect *"the shape of things to come"* in the UK.

American schools have long suffered the purge of all traces of *"racism"*, *"sexism"* or *"minority stereotyping"* from children's books. From history textbooks portraying a Negro Hannibal crossing the snow-swept Alps ("cool, man!") to fairy tales carefully purged of Snow White ("blatantly racist") and the Seven Dwarfs ("offensive to the physically handicapped"). But two recent events have revealed to race-conscious Americans, whose land is further along the race-mixing road than we are, just where that road leads.

ILLITERATE NEGROES

The first was the report, following massive parental protests, by a school board in the city of Portland, Oregon, on the competence of teachers in the city's schools. This revealed that many teachers had a reading age of eight or below. One typical example, a Negress with a bachelor's degree in Education from Portland State University, explained how "We learn the kids how to read", but was found to be incapable of reading herself. Unable to fire her for fear of being sued for "racism", the Portland education authorities hired a tutor to teach Teacher to read and write. However, even when presented with a tiny tot's fairy tale book, *"The Brave Little Tailor"*, this learned educator was quite incapable of following the story, and could only scratch her woolly curls and look puzzled.

The reason illiterate Negroes were graduating from Portland University with degrees qualifying them to teach White children soon became clear. Explained Portland University's Dean of Education, Professor Harold Jorgensen: "There is a big push on to get more minorities, particularly Blacks. We had some pressure to get Blacks enrolled." And, as one of the lecturers in his department pointed out, "Once a Black is enrolled, graduation is practically automatic." The university feared on the basis of bitter experience that if they failed a Black, however illiterate, ignorant or lazy, they would face legal action for "racial discrimination", and be forced to pay out thousands of dollars. Better pass out Black "Bachelors of Education" who cannot spell their own names than be branded "racist", after all!

But White children in America's "Blackboard" jungle face worse fates by far than illiterate teachers. Many of them are forced to go to "integrated" schools, overwhelmingly Black, where they endure unspeakable degradation. In particular, the prettier a little White girl is, the fairer her hair and the bluer her eyes, the worse she is likely to be treated by the Negroes, brainwashed with a burning hatred of "Whitey" by "Black Studies" and a barrage of anti-White TV shows, of which the wholly fictitious "true story", *"Roots"* was among the more moderate.

SAVAGE SEX ATTACK

In a recent case, by no means unique, Mary Williams, a pretty blonde thirteen-year old, was forced by six Blacks between the ages of fourteen and sixteen into the athletic area changing room of a mainly Black New York school. There she was gagged with a three inch ball of cloth stuffed in her mouth tied in place with bailing wire. She was brutally beaten and her left eye was knocked out. The six young Blacks then raped her and called in their friends to join in and "get a piece of the honky". Between fifty and sixty "oppressed Negroes" raped the young White schoolgirl over a three-hour period (the school's Black PE teachers being, conveniently, "elsewhere" during this time). Many members of this "downtrodden minority" spat on Mary as they raped her, others amused themselves by grinding out lighted cigarettes on her breasts. Police reported that both her nipples had been partially bitten off. Mary was critically ill in hospital for five weeks after her Black fellow-students had finished their bit of "cultural expression", and as she recovered physically she had to be strapped into her bed at night to protect her from hysterical fits as she awakened screaming from the recurrent nightmares about her horrifying ordeal with which she was afflicted. Psychiatrists say that this young White girl will bear the mental and emotional scars of her experience of multi-racial education to the grave.

EXTORTION RACKETS

So far, no actually illiterate teachers have been found in British schools, though the poor standards of reading, writing and arithmetic amongst many British teachers have been the subject of some comment in informed circles and even in Parliament. And, though extortion rackets and bullying by West Indian gangs are a fact of life in many of our schools, and a White schoolgirl has been raped and tortured by Blacks in a Brixton tenement, nothing comparable to the agonies inflicted on Mary Williams and others in US schools has been suffered by any child in our schools.

Not yet. But if we do not want to see yet another sign of the Decline of the West crossing the Atlantic, if we do not want to see our children "taught" by illiterates who are teachers only because they are Black, and if we do not want to see the blood of British schoolgirls staining the floor of British schoolrooms, we must act now. Do the people of Britain need their noses rubbed in their children's blood before they will act?

Miami Blacks go on Anti-White murder rampage

ON Saturday, May 17th the worst race riot America had seen for many years erupted in Miami, Florida.

The trouble started when an all White jury acquitted four White policemen of murdering a Black insurance executive, Arthur McDuffie. As soon as the verdict was heard thousands of Blacks in Tampa, where the trial was held, and later in Miami rioted in protest at the court's decision.

Before long, news of terrible atrocities began to filter out from the riot scenes as the rampaging Blacks attacked any White who was unlucky enough to be in the area at the time. One White victim of Black barbarism had his ear cut off and his tongue severed with a knife. He was then shot in the side from close range and a red rose was thrust down his throat. Another White victim was beaten to the ground by a rioting Black mob and then, as he lay bleeding in the road, he was run over by another Black man in a large car which ripped his arm off. Another White was locked in his car and then burned alive by the Negro rioters.

As the riot entered its second day the Whites had started to retaliate, shooting at the rampaging Blacks from rooftops. The final death toll at the end of all the riots was given as nineteen. Hundreds of thousands of pounds worth of damage was caused and it took days for local industry to recommence production.

Whatever else was responsible for the Miami riots, if anything, the obvious and most important motive for the violence was the high degree of racism inherent within the two communities. It is debatable whether or not racism had anything to do with the police violence in the first instant on Arthur McDuffie. It is debatable also whether or not racism had anything to do with the White jury's "Not Guilty" verdict.

What most definitely is not a question of debate, however, is the racism of Miami's Black community, who attacked anything with white skin. The White backlash was also a sign of racism inasmuch as it showed that the White community was not prepared to tolerate the barbarism of the Negroes.

But, above all else, the riots in Miami showed that multi-racialism in America is not working; not only is it not working, but it now looks as if, sooner or later, the multi-racial society in the USA is going to collapse into bloody race war.

Sinking the rural roots of Nationalism

NICK WAKELING

RURAL DEPRIVATION is not a subject that has received much discussion or thought in Nationalist circles to date.

The reasons for this are self evident. The factors that have contributed towards the growth of British Nationalism have most markedly manifested themselves in the inner-city areas of our industrial conurbations. We all know that Coloured Immigration and its attendant problems is exclusively an inner-city phenomenon. Likewise, Britain's economic decline with its resultant high levels of unemployment has largely affected the populations of our big industrial towns.

Strategically, it was only to be expected that in its formative years the National Front should have concentrated its efforts in such areas. However, I feel it important that the National Front must now turn its attention to Britain's rural areas.

For most city-dwellers the countryside is something that is observed from inside a car or through a train window. Whilst we all admire the natural outstanding beauty and tranquility of our countryside complete with its picturesque villages, I wonder how many of us really understand the very real problems currently being experienced by people living in such areas.

So what is happening to Britain's villages? In short, they are declining both in terms of real population and in terms of their vitality and viability. This is caused by a number of factors, mostly directly related to Britain's present economic and financial predicament.

Transport is an obvious problem to those who live in the country. Increases in the cost of petrol, road tax etc., are making it ever more expensive to run a car. Rural public transport is also gradually collapsing as bus companies are phasing out more and more services, and on the routes that remain people are being forced to pay higher and higher fares. This has led to a situation where many villages have no bus contact at all.

Unemployment is also a problem, as it affects rural areas just as it does urban areas, and the present economic climate which tends to stifle the growth of small businesses does not help. Employment in agriculture is also declining, partly due to the Common Market which has resulted in Britain's importing more food, and also to the gradual mechanisation of agriculture by profit conscious farmers who sell their produce to the multi-national food giants.

Cost saving operations by the GPO have led to the closure of many village sub-post offices and even the removal of telephone kiosks. Doctors' surgeries are also disappearing from villages as are dispensing chemists and village stores.

BUREAUCRATIC MONSTROSITIES

The situation has not been improved by the 1974 re-organisation of Local Government which took power away from local parish and town councils and placed it in the hands of bureaucratic monstrosities known as County Councils. The situation is further exacerbated by the fact that these County Councils are controlled by Tories who, as we all know, analyse everything from a narrow financial viewpoint. This kind of attitude has led to the closure of village schools, resulting in young children being transported to large schools many miles away.

All this has drastically changed the composition of our villages. Because of the unemployment problem, the village school closures and lack of essential services many young people are leaving the villages and fleeing to our crowded cities. Their place is being taken by the urban well-to-do who have come either to commute or retire. Although their motives are honest the effect has been that villages, once healthy, lively, organic entities, are becoming nothing more than decaying sleepy shells.

Just as the politicians of the old gang parties have no answers to the problems of our inner-cities, they have no solutions to the rural crisis. Our villages embody much history, tradition and heritage and they must be kept alive. Furthermore, I believe that British Nationalist policies can contribute much to a 'rural resurgence'.

It is up to individual NF branches in rural areas to use their initiative to produce propaganda to show that our economic policies can do much to eliminate the factors that have contributed to rural decline. It must be done if we are to sink the rural roots of Nationalism; and it must be done if we are to become a truly 'National' Front.

'DEMOCRATIC' SHAM OR THE WILL OF THE PEOPLE

THE PHILOSOPHY of liberalism, quite apart from its subservience to International Finance, is also undesirable in its own right as a weak-minded yet tyrannical hypocrisy.

The farce of the last election, where thousands voted Conservative on the strength of Mrs. Thatcher's promise to allow a free vote on hanging, was a classic example. It was obvious all along that enough Tory MPs would, in a free vote, reject capital punishment to give the 'antis' a comfortable majority. But among the innocent British electorate this empty promise encouraged the belief that a vote for the Conservatives was a vote for the death penalty for terrorism and this cynical deception, like the one on immigration, played a large part in the Tory victory.

FOREGONE CONCLUSION

Similarly, the EEC referendum was, although at first sight fair, in fact a foregone conclusion since the 'pro' campaign spent eleven times as much as the anti-marketeers. The aim of such tricks is to produce a sham veil of democracy which gives the masses the delusion that they really have a choice and that their rulers actually take notice of what they think.

That this illusion is common to the whole liberal-democratic system and is not merely the result of the corruption of individual politicians in Britain, is shown by the use of similar tactics all over the world. In France, for example, there is strong public feeling over government attempts to abolish the death penalty. Inconveniently for the abolitionist politicians a planned parliamentary debate on the issue coincided with an upsurge of vicious murders which swung public opinion in favour of the retention of the guillotine.

If modern Western democracy was really designed, as we are told, to give effect to the wishes of the majority, we would expect the politicians to bow to this public feeling. But no! Instead, the government complained that the murders had "thrown public opinion into confusion" and promptly "postponed" the debate "for at least a year". In other words, the murders had woken people up and more time was now needed to lull them back to sleep.

MAJORITY IGNORED AND DESPISED

This blatant disregard for the wishes of the ordinary people, if they go against any of its pet fetishes, such as coloured immigration or pampering terrorists, is typical of our present ruling system. **In Britain and the Western world, no less than in the Communist bloc, the real majority is left voiceless, arrogantly ignored and despised by our New Unhappy Lords.**

Young National Front "T" Shirts are available in small, medium and large sizes from YNF, PO Box 163, London EC2. Price £2.20.

THE C.B.I. PUTS BLACKS FIRST

THE Confederation of British Industry, which represents the big factory owners, recently issued a formal statement grovelling to the tyrannical Commission for Racial Equality. The CBI said that it *"wholeheartedly supports the principle of equality of opportunity in employment and opposes all forms of discrimination on the grounds of . . . colour, race, nationality and ethnic or national origin."*

The statement continued by saying that *"the elimination of discrimination is an essential step forward in the social and economic progress of the UK"* and that to do otherwise would be *"economically short-sighted."*

This should come as no surprise. Although many small firms and businesses are only now being bullied into employing Blacks, sections of big business have encouraged multi-racialism for years. Thousands of Asians came to Britain as a result of adverts placed in Indian and Pakistani newspapers by big textile firms in cities such as Bradford. Often owned by earlier alien immigrants, these factories are able, by employing Asians, to pay lower wages than a secure and well organised White workforce would accept, and thus make higher profits.

For the bosses of such firms, opposition to immigration and integration may indeed be "short-sighted", but for White workers whose jobs are threatened such opposition is plain commonsense.

Nor is the textile industry alone in encouraging immigration in order to hold down the wages of British workers. London Transport, for example, brought thousands of West Indians over in the early 1960s, and the management in many other firms, especially foreign-owned ones such as Imperial Typewriters, were quick to see the financial advantages of employing Blacks.

Multi-national companies in particular, with no sense of responsibility or loyalty to Britain, are quite happy to encourage immigration, or even to close down factories here and set up new ones in the Far East where wages are even lower and profits even higher. Unfortunately, nothing can be done to stop this until a National Front government comes to power and passes laws to ensure that British capital stays invested in British owned factories employing British workers.

DAMNING INDICTMENT

One area where we can have an effect long before we come to power, however, is on the shop floor. The fact that trade unionists have sat back and allowed their bosses to get away with thirty years of anti-White treason, is a damning indictment of union leaders. These Marxist time-servers have betrayed their members and their country. That is, of course, not surprising since Capitalism and Communism have always worked hand in hand to encourage race-mixing, as the resulting coffee coloured proles will be easy to dominate and exploit.

What is a surprise is that the ordinary White workers have let them get away with it and here, perhaps, the blame lies more with us than we would like to admit. From time to time, as when the London dockers marched against immigration, or when the Whites of Imperial Typewriters made their stand, British workers have shown themselves ready to work and even march with the National Front.

Yet we have tended to treat these incidents as isolated events – short term advantages to be quickly forgotten. In fact, we must realise that they show the need and opportunity for a long term campaign to build up, within the trade unions, a Nationalist movement which, by defending White workers from multi-racialism and the other evils of Monopoly Capitalism, will show millions of trade unionists that **Nationalism, not Communism, is the real alternative to the old system.**

Obviously, the creation of such a White workers' movement will take many years, but the sooner we make a start the sooner it can be done.

TORIES BACK FRIEDMAN'S FUNNY MONEY

BRITAIN's economic crisis is deepening. Unemployment is rising and it is predicted that 2 million people could be without a job by the end of the year. Inflation is also rocketing out of control. At the time of writing it is nearly 22 per cent and it is expected that this trend will continue in coming months.

As every day passes it is becoming abundantly clear that the Tory Government's economic policies have not succeeded, are not succeeding, and do not look like succeeding.

Since their election victory in May 1979 the Tories have put their faith in the 'Monetarist' school of thought such as advocated by the American economist Milton Friedman.

In his recently published book *Free to Choose* Friedman reveals his *laissez-faire* economic views. He is dead against any form of government intervention such as the implementation of import controls which would protect the home market and save many British jobs. Furthermore, if Mr. Friedman had his way there would be no such thing as the National Health Service or the Welfare State.

As a liberal Capitalist Friedman obviously believes (as Marxists do) that politics should be subservient to economics. In contrast we, as Nationalists, believe that economics must be made subservient to politics.

This is not the only major difference between free market monetarism and economic nationalism. Monetarists argue that the 'Government is printing too much money' and that the money supply simply ought to be 'restricted'. Although this has a superficial similarity to policies advocated by Nationalists, it is a dangerous diversion from the true path of monetary reform.

Inflation is caused by private bankers lending 'money' into circulation as a debt. The only way in which the debt can be repaid is by the creation of new and even bigger debts, in order to cover the original loan and interest.

Thus the supply must continually increase if the system is to be kept going, and this is the cause of a constantly inflating supply of money. If loans are restricted by a policy of **deflation**, then there is a chronic deficiency of credit leading to a slump. In such a situation there are unemployed workers wanting jobs and consumers demanding goods, but there is not enough purchasing power to oil the wheels of production.

MILTON FRIEDMAN

In previous times periods of inflation and slump alternated with each other, but now the sheer weight of debt is causing both at once.

What we need is a new system which will free industry from the crippling burden of debt and interest slavery, and provide a stable economy without inflation or unemployment.

Money must be created by the State and must be spent – not lent – into circulation debt and interest free. This need not be inflationary as long as its issue is directly related to the real production of goods and services.

The mere restriction of the money supply as advocated by the Monetarists without altering the underlying debt system is simply the classical economic policy of deflation as outlined above. The results of this being that the Tories are leading Britain into an economic slump with wholesale unemployment along the lines of the 1920s and 30s.

Free to Choose may well be Friedman's slogan – but for the millions of workers thrown onto the economic scrapheap because of his policies it will be an entirely different story.

The possibilities for the phased development of the National Front

EDDY MORRISON

IF THE ANTICS of our elected representatives in parliamentary politics often represent a zoo, then the arena outside of establishment politics is very much a jungle. After 11 years in nationalist politics I have come across every cranky proposal and miracle formula for a nationalist advance to power that the human mind could conceive. I was even approached by one devotee of the ridiculous who 'proved' beyond any shadow of a doubt that, using algebra and advanced maths, we could take power in this country – no need to leaflet another letterbox! Others have produced everything from solar power, mobile homes, death-rays and just about the whole contents of the Science Fiction style crackpot political menagerie.

It is with some trepidation, therefore, that I take the plunge and suggest that it is quite possible to have a studied and phased plan to follow, and along which as a basic guideline the NF should move. A phasing idea based not on armchair theories but on many years of political street experience and admittedly utilising the ideas of one or two other leading nationalists.

A rough parallel to that which is being suggested would be the idea of a four stage rocket. As each stage or phase is completed it drops its shell and boosts automatically the next stage of development. The NF as a movement is already well off the launching pad but in my opinion its flight path and internal workings have become somewhat awry, resulting in various malfunctions and a recent very near crash landing.

PHASE ONE of the development is well completed – that is to use the now notorious phraseology, to kick our way into the headlines. The essential aim of this initial thrust is GET THE NAME OF THE NF KNOWN THROUGHOUT THE LAND in practically any way possible! The NF did this perfectly by the use of its limited manpower in small marches, specific demonstrations and stunts against particularly vile manifestations of the enemy's activities. With the birth of a new movement and the obviously very limited manpower available, publicity – 'the lifeblood of any movement' – was the key. And lots of it . . .

The dynamism and the aura of **action** generated by phase one should never be lost but should be an eternal hallmark of our onward development as a movement. What phase one accomplished was that for the first time since the 1930s, a right-wing patriotic movement, albeit small, was talked about throughout the length and breadth of the nation. The predecessors to the NF in the first half of the 1960s had attempted to put this same policy into effect, but failed to graduate beyond it. In fact some of our smaller 'rival' groups, one in particular which has been in existence **longer** than the NF, are on a continual stage one situation due to their total inability to develop.

PHASE TWO saw the beginnings even whilst phase one was going on, of a nationwide structure of groups, firstly in London, then in the big provincial centres, and finally in many little out of the way places, where ones and twos and small groups of idealists attracted to the movement through the continuing flow of phase one publicity and the obvious dynamism of the National Front, had contacted party headquarters on their own accord, without outside prompting, to investigate the possibilities of forming a basic unit of the party in their locality, and so gradually during the first few years, the skeletal structure of a nationwide party apparatus was born. Other tasks in phase two were the setting up of intensive training programmes and the building of the beginnings of a cadre organisation of dedicated, trusted and reliable organisers.

The continuation of activities of the publicity seeking kind was boosted by the fresh numbers of recruits coming into the party and setting a wheel in motion which, as far as pure recruiting was concerned, was an upgoing spiral.

However, before phase two was properly complete and well before a properly established, well-rooted national party apparatus was established, the NF began to tinker around in the fields much more properly assigned to the final phase of our development – elections. By leaving the path of what might possibly be termed a natural and organic growth, and turning the movement into a purely electoral machine – two things happened. Phase three, the most important phase of all in the current situation, was completely missed **and** because we were not ready to become a mass electorally based party, the backlash of the failure of this hothouse forced development was the destruction of a large part of the gains made in phase two, i.e. the weakening of the national apparatus and the disruption of the cadres.

PHASE THREE. Had things moved properly on, as should have been planned for, by this time our well established national organisation would have been spreading out its roots into a myriad of activities. Besides the big national events which would be the continual stimulation of the forward dynamism and publicity of the party, other parallel party organisations would have been established; for youth (this is being done); for trades unions; schools; students; local newspapers; stewarding groups; affiliated groups of many kinds; coupled with the purchase of national, regional and local headquarters throughout the land together with the hiring of full time devoted party workers and administrators. **The real purpose of phase three would be to turn the National Front from a nationwide party organisation into a society in microcosm, duplicating this sick society and getting ready for the real struggle to come.**

PHASE FOUR. Whilst the final stages of phase three were being completed, the real attack against the citadels of the enemy establishment could begin. **We often hear the cliche that the only alternative to a parliamentary road to power is a violent seizure of the reigns of government either by means of a coup or by a revolution. Nobody postulates any other alternatives to these two. There is a third way – the paralysing of the enemy state from within by the mass organisation of a movement within the state organism.** The attack would be twofold – electorally, utilising the well constructed party machine with its duplicated structures down to street level being able to easily outpace the old parties. Structurally, with the seizing of union positions through elections, civil service places, penetration of the armed forces, the police and the prison service. At the same time the movement's control of the streets would ensure a real alternative base for power.

By this time, if phase four was seen through successfully, the hammer blows of the movement at the elections coupled with the pressure from below, would cause the old party system to naturally and automatically collapse, leaving the NF, as a movement in a ready state to take over effective control of all the organs of government, as these will have already been duplicated during phase three before the party came to power.

POINTS

To make all this effective the NF must radically alter its internal structure.

It must be recognised that the NF is in the current position of being in the middle of phase two and nowhere near phase three.

A regular party publication is an absolute must – preferably weekly and at least fortnightly to be used as our chief propaganda weapon.

All deviations towards **easy** solutions which usually involve pursuing a Tory/populist line must be rejected and guarded against. There are no easy solutions, just hard work and dedication.

We must throw away the idea that we are another political party in the old style and stop trying to emulate them in any way. The NF is the enemy of political parties as they are construed today.

NATIONALIST LITERATURE

A wide range of Nationalist literature is for sale, including back issues of *Britain First* and *Anglian News*, plus many collector's items. Send a s.a.e. for the current list to: Nick Wakeling, c/o Hill House, Huntingfield, Halesworth, Suffolk.

WHY WORLD CAPITALISTS CRAWL TO CHINESE COMMUNISTS

WHILST the Soviet Union, by rampaging rather too obviously across defenceless neighbouring countries, has made it temporarily embarrassing to grovel before and sell our high technology goods to for even the most "detente-minded" politician or the most profit-minded international Capitalist, Red China labours under no such handicap.

Chairman Teng and his cronies have had their jackboots well and truly shined by assorted Western tongues lately. Tory Cabinet Ministers and US Senators have been wined and stuffed with chop suey whilst they fall over each other in sycophantic praise of their hosts. Arch-reactionaries of the most Thatcherite ilk have basked in standing ovations from packed ranks of Maoist Communists as they urge the Chinese Marxists on in their factional quarrel with their Soviet fellow-Marxists.

Meanwhile, Western plutocrats have lost no time in making hay – and cash – whilst the sun shines. They have swarmed to Chinese trade fairs at which they frantically outbid each other to sell Western technology to those who are only prevented from carrying out their sworn threat to destroy the West by the lack of that technology. Not just ball-point pens and computers have been freely flogged but military hardware enough to equip an army has been sold, and Britain is still trying to persuade the Red Chinese to accept, on ever more generous terms, our world-beating Harrier jump-jet. More alarmingly, the Americans and Europeans are competing with each other to build China a space capability. Sophisticated satellite ground control stations have already been sold to the Oriental Reds by the US. The European Space Agency is to offer help in designing intercontinental ballistic missiles. And America has given the Chinese the plans for her Atlas and Centaur space launchers, with which they will be able to put hydrogen bombs into Earth orbit, to be brought down in minutes on command, on any city in the world.

"GANG OF FOUR"

The argument used to justify such suicidal sales has been that the Chinese "will help contain the Soviet threat," and the general impression is given in our media that the Chinese are not really such bad chaps after all – they even have a "Democracy Wall" where people can criticise the Government, and unlike Mao the new leaders of the thousand million Red Chinese are *"moderates"*.

But this is obvious nonsense. The new Chinese Government did indeed have a "Democracy Wall" to show to Western visitors, but when the posters thereon grew too damaging they closed it down, rounded up the leading posterers and sentenced their leader, Wei Ching-shing, to 15 years in a concentration camp for criticising the Government. His less prominent associates, with fewer Western friends, have simply vanished, presumably into nameless holes in the ground. And the new Chinese regime did not quarrel with the "Gang of Four" over "moderation vs. extremism", but over more or less the same issue on which Stalin quarrelled with Trotsky: whether, when Marxist doctrine comes into conflict with the constraints imposed by the Real World (a not uncommon occurrence!), it is better to be doctrinally pure or to be realistic. Teng and Co., by opting for a pragmatic approach, are following the line of Stalin. And we all know just how "moderate" *he* was!

The true face of the new Chinese regime is shown in such actions as the recent appointment of Yang Teh-chih as Army Chief-of-Staff. Yang commanded the Chinese forces in Korea who exterminated all but 40 of the 1st Battalion The Gloucestershire Regiment when they heroically stood fast against his treacherous surprise attack. His forces were noted for the sadistic tortures inflicted, in flagrant contravention of all the laws of war, and even considerations of common humanity, upon any Allied prisoners-of-war unlucky enough to fall into his hands. Yang was also behind the recent attempted Chinese conquest of Vietnam. This attack was no less unprovoked and vicious than the Soviet invasion of Afghanistan, but since the victim was as Red as the aggressor, and because, unlike the West, the Soviets stand by their allies so that the Chinese had to fall back across the border with a bloody nose, little fuss was made about it.

HEAP OF SKULLS

No, the Chinese Communists, whose leaders' power today is founded upon a heap of skulls higher by far than even that under the Politburo in Moscow, and who waded to that power through the blood of ninety million of their fellow countrymen, are no "moderates". The peace-loving people of Tibet, whose pacifist Buddhist monk rulers refused on moral grounds to maintain an army or fight a war, even in their own defence, and who were brutally enslaved and butchered amid horrific carnage (which is where pacifism gets you, CND and friends please note!) could testify to that, in blood. And they are no less sworn to destroy the West than their fellow Communists in the Kremlin. The only difference is that, whereas most of the rulers of the Soviet Empire and many of their serfs are White and have managed to retain some glimmers of European civilisation through the long night of Marxist tyranny, the heirs of Mao add to the bloodthirsty revelling in massacre, purge and slaughter embodied in the particularly virulent form of "Marxism-Leninism-Stalinism" they espouse the casual brutality, callousness, complete insensitivity to the sufferings of their fellow man and indifference to human life which are part of the racial and cultural character of Asiatics from Attila the Hun and Genghis Khan to the present day.

Yet, ever since the possibility of selling Coca-Cola to a thousand million mouths opened in 1972, the Red Chinese have been unable to do wrong in the eyes of the most reactionary Western 'conservative'. To curry favour with the "proletarian vanguard" in Peking, Western Capitalists unceremoniously chucked their loyal Nationalist Chinese allies out of the UN (thereby doing them an unintentional favour!) and withdrew diplomatic recognition from the bemused Chiang Kai Shek Goverment in Taiwan, whose only crime was to believe in their innocence that Capitalism and Communism were opposites.

Now Communist China has met with the ultimate mark of Capitalist approval, withheld from the Soviets during even the balmiest days of "detente". "Anti-Capitalist" Red China was admitted on April 17th to the super-Capitalist International Monetary Fund.

Nationalist China, though run on best Thatcherite "free-enterprise" smash-and-grab Capitalist lines, is to be slung out of this super-Capitalist club to make room for their neighbouring "Workers' State" (!). Their IMF membership will allow the "heirs of Marx and Lenin" unlimited free access to Western markets and a say in the running of Capitalist states like Britain when, as often happens, they wind up in hock up to their necks to the IMF international financiers. Red China is also expected shortly to join the World Bank, so apart from helping the IMF to force the British Government to throw millions of British workers on the dole in the interests of "business efficiency" and higher profits, these "revolutionary socialists" will be able to help themselves to the colossal piggy-bank of profits sweated by a hundred years of naked plutocracy out of the working peoples of the West.

From the point of view of anyone who still believed that Communism stood for "Workers' Power", the admission of Communist China, the last hope of those who yet dreamed of a "socialist workers' paradise" arising out of Marxist revolution, to one of the governing bodies of World Capitalism is only equalled by the similar behaviour of the pigs in Orwell's *"Animal Farm"* who, having seized control of a farm by leading the animals in a revolution against "human exploitation" ended up exploiting them worse than ever and boozing and gambling the profits away with the stalwarts of the local Farmers' Union.

ARCH-PLUTOCRATS

And from the point of view of anyone who still believes that Tories and plutocrats would "save the West from Communism", the sight of Tory ministers crawling to and arch-plutocrats admitting to their highest councils fanatical Communists sworn to destroy the West must seem suicidal insanity beyond belief.

But from a radical Nationalist point of view it is far from surprising. For we know that the Capitalists, the Chinese and all other Communists are sworn to destroy a united West made up of free, proud and prosperous nations. **Nations run by and for their native working folk, nations with their roots sunk deep in the traditions of our Race and the**

Continued on page 18

NICK GRIFFIN

LESSONS WE CAN LEARN FROM THE RUSSIAN REVOLUTION

ALTHOUGH NATIONALISTS believe that the view of Capitalism and Communism as diametrically opposed opposites is false, and that these forces are in fact only different sides of the same Internationalist coin, we still accept that Russia is an ambitious Imperialist power. Nevertheless it should be pointed out that the recent Conservative "discovery" that Soviet Communism is a threat to Britain should be treated with the utmost caution.

The Establishment's resurrection of "anti-Communism" is certainly not a matter of ideology or principle, as is shown by the indecent haste with which these champions of individual freedom, while attacking Russia, have agreed to build up the military machine of China which, for all the media window dressing, is still more genuinely Communist than Russia.

The Conservative fear of Russian militarism completely ignores the fact that a victorious but mauled Red Army would probably be incapable of holding down the captive nations of Eastern Europe, let alone its new conquests, whose prosperity would also have a dangerous effect on the ordinary Russian soldier.

In addition to these factors weighing against full-scale war, we should remember from our own experience as an aggressive Imperialist power, that such a nation will be quite ready to annexe unstable neighbours and to hold on to territory seized in war, but not so desperate to expand that it will lightly embark on a venture which could easily result in a seriously bloody nose or even its own collapse.

TORIES IGNORE REAL THREAT

In order that Britain could be sure of defending herself, we will have to increase defence spending by far more than the Conservatives have done. But there are still more important steps to be taken than building bigger and better armed forces. **The Tories, for all their alleged concern about Communism, have made no attempt to deal with the greatest Marxist threat to Britain – the INTERNAL one.** There is no point in building up strong defence against an external enemy if Communist subversion at home is allowed to destroy our nation from within.

The Reds in this country are repeatedly written off by the Establishment as insignificant morons who occasionally throw rotten eggs at Cabinet Ministers. Anything which suggests that they are a real danger, such as the Militant Trotskyite drive to control the Labour Party, is ignored or quickly forgotten. This view displays an unbelievable smugness among people who have seen Britain decline from world power to pauper state in their own lifetimes, but who refuse to acknowledge that the process of collapse will continue.

The accepted view is that the situation developing in Britain is so different from that of Russia in 1917 that a comparison is not even worth thinking about. This is, however, a potentially disastrous error because although there are obviously major differences, there are also a frightening number of similarities, and to ignore the lessons of the Russian tragedy is to invite history to repeat itself.

COMMUNIST BLUEPRINT

First, there is the attitude of the Reds themselves. While some, like the Militant activists, rely on gradual subversion, there are other groups whose tactics are modelled strictly on those of 1917. Lenin's use of a newspaper, *The Spark*, as the basis of a nationwide organisation of fanatics who could foment and guide the unrest of politically naive industrial workers is the blueprint for *Socialist Worker*.



LENIN

Although Russia was an overwhelmingly agricultural country, the rural peasantry played no part in the revolution, which was made in the industrial cities. Although peasants formed 80% of the population, only between 0.1% and 0.26% of peasants were Communist Party members. Bolshevism was thus, like Britain today, essentially urban.

A high proportion of Russia's urban population was, however, of recent peasant origin and this rootless and alienated class was easily stirred up by the Bolsheviks. Again, at first this appears to be a very different situation from that of modern Britain, but that is to forget the presence here of millions of ill-educated West Indians and illiterate Asian peasants. The Reds have already realised their value as revolutionary canon-fodder, with groups such as the SWP's *Flame* working to encourage and capitalise on events such as the Asian riots in Southall and the West Indian uprising in Bristol.

Where Asiatic Mongols were used to crush the White Russians, Blacks and Asians will be used as the shock-troops of the revolution in Britain.

The Communist leadership in both countries is also remarkably similar. Trotsky (Bronstein) was, like SWP leader Tony Cliff (Gluckstein), a middle-class Jew. Over 80% of the Bolshevik leaders were of alien origin and the proportion is similar in Britain today. These people, nursing an ancient hatred of White Civilisation and a messianic desire for power, are just as dangerous and ruthless as they were in 1917.

ALIEN MILLIONAIRES

The massive financial and technical assistance given to the Bolsheviks by Wall Street financiers such as Jacob Schiff of Kuhn, Loeb and Co., is well documented. Again there are strong similarities with the Britain of today, where Levantine millionaires such as banker Sabattai Sagall and printer Michael Kidron bankroll the far-Left. The fledgeling Red government in 1917 was able to distribute the first issues of *Pravda* free, greatly influencing wavering army units. At a criticial time, our own Marxists will not be short of funds.

Most Establishment politicians would, in spite of these similarities, deny that a Communist takeover of Britain is possible, pointing out that Russia was in chaos before the Reds were able to seize power. That is true, but can we be so sure that the same could not happen to Britain? The collapse of Russia was the result of extra strains – inflation, leading to strikes which disrupted the railway system and caused food shortages – imposed by the First World War on a system already under great pressures from rapid modernisation and structural change.

Obviously such events are highly unlikely to occur in Britain, but others just as disastrous could occur and indeed are already doing so. The British economy is also undergoing a fundamental re-adjustment and decline as many industries such as textiles and shipbuilding are destroyed by foreign competition. Furthermore, increasing mechanisation and the much discussed silicon chip will, over the coming years, bring about a far reaching restructuring of the British economy. **Under a Radical Nationalist government this could provide millions of workers with extra leisure time and the wealth to enjoy it, but under the existing system the result will only be bigger profits for multi-national companies and millions more unemployed.** The effects of this on a society used to ever rising living standards will be traumatic, leading to strikes, race-riots and a passionate desire for economic and political change.

COLLAPSE OF 'CONSENSUS POLITICS'

It is of course impossible to predict the date of such events, but a glance at any economic figures will show how rapidly Britain is now declining. Imports are rising, driving our own manufacturers out of business; productivity is falling, and out of date machinery is being run into the ground without being replaced. Such a trend can only lead to economic disaster and the

rejection of the political system which allowed it to occur.

It might be objected that, in spite of such events, Communism would still only appeal to a tiny section of the population and would be opposed by most people. This is of course true, but it was also true of Russia. Lenin himself said that if a thousand people in St. Petersburg had known what was going on and had acted to stop it, the Revolution would have been crushed at birth. Even the Reds' eventual success owed less to their own ability and more to the lack of co-operation between the different counter-revolutionary armies.

This was largely the result of the Whites' lack of any aim save to destroy the Reds. There was no political programme or plan for reconstruction. Many peasants who had only recently gained their own land feared that a White victory might lead to reaction and the loss of their new holdings. Thus millions of private peasant landowners, natural anti-Communists, were alienated from the White cause.

Russia then, went Red almost by default. The anti-Communists, divided and identified with the old regime, were unable to win the hearts and minds of the population. The parallel in Britain today would be if the National Front were to support Tory monetarism and become a pro-government strike-breaking body. As a result working people seeking an alternative to the corrupt old system, although essentially patriotic and racialist, would have no choice to turn to except Marxism.

Such an event is, obviously, unthinkable, but the fact that many workers believe the Communist lie that the NF is anti-trade union could still be disastrous. An active National Front Trade Union Association would be living proof that the Red propaganda that we are a "Bosses' Front" is false. **No working man is likely to pay much attention to what the armchair socialist intellectuals say about Nationalism, if he knows a few good trade unionists who are proud to be members of the National Front.**



The NF must establish a Trade Union base

There were a number of patriotic groups in pre-revolution Russia, but a combination of poor organisation and reactionary policies made them no match for the seductive slogans and iron discipline of the Bolsheviks.

ORGANISATION, POLICIES, IDEOLOGY

The lesson is clear: it is not enough to be right. It is not even enough to have the majority of the population on your side. The only way to beat the Reds in the struggle to replace decadent liberalism is to build up an organisation ready to take advantage of the collapse of the old system and not tainted by association with it. The National Front is the embryo of that organisation, but there is still a great deal to be done: sinking roots in factories and local communities; training confident speakers able to sway an angry but confused crowd; and building up an effective propaganda machine at national and local levels.

This party machine must make full use of a concrete programme setting out the kind of society which we want and the changes we will implement to reach that end.

It must be crystal clear that we are not in politics as a prop for the old system, but to build a new one, designed to benefit Britain and the White Race rather than the twin alien ideologies of Monopoly Capitalism and Communism.

INEVITABLE

The political awareness needed to articulate such a programme, and the spirit of sacrifice required to build up the organisation, publicise and implement it, can only come from an ideologically motivated hard-core. Only a trained and dedicated elite, which understands the real nature of our enemy, knows where we are going and is prepared to endure the hardships necessary to get there, can hope to withstand the attacks to which we will be subjected and go on to form the basis of an effective mass movement.

The steady collapse of the old system makes Nationalist victory possible, it is up to us to make it inevitable.

'PREVENTION' RACKET OR PREVENTIVE ACTION

THE PREVENTION of Terrorism Act was passed in response to the murderous and cowardly IRA bomb outrages in Birmingham in 1974. One of its provisions empowered the Home Secretary to keep suspected terrorists out of the British mainland by means of an "exclusion order" confining them to Ulster.

This was, of course, quite indefensible in principle as it reduced a part of Britain – Ulster – to the status of a dumping ground for terrorists, instead of making provision for such vermin to be sent where they belong – the "Irish Republic".

In practice, too, this Act has left much to be desired. Its application against the Irish Republicans at whom it was aimed has been, to put it mildly, not over rigorous. Whilst the odd terrorist suspect has been put back on the boat, Irish Republicanism is still very much alive and kicking in Britain. Apart from pro-IRA marches, such as the one which was, in sickening bad taste and disrespect for the dead, allowed to parade through Birmingham recently, one need only go into a pub in areas such as London's Kilburn in the later evening to see gangs of Irishmen interspersing their drinking with hate filled dirges insulting the country on which they have foisted themselves, drunkenly glorifying the 700 years of treachery, cowardice, atrocities, terrorism, conspiracy, back-stabbing and murder which is the *"Irish Republican cause"* and, not least, heaping undeserved ignominy upon the many thousands of loyal Irishmen who fought and died for Britain.

ULSTER LOYALISTS HARASSED

But in one aspect of the application of the Act the authorities have acted with an entirely untypical vigour and despatch. That is in harassing Loyal British Ulstermen. Numerous Ulster Loyalists have been served with exclusion orders forbidding them to set foot on the mainland of their motherland, a land for which many of them have risked their lives in fighting the war the Government should be fighting against the IRA, and a land whose soil is daily desecrated by the tread of every kind of racial alien and Marxist subversive. Groups of loyal Britons whose only crime was patriotism and the courage to fight the IRA vermin in their own Republican rat-holes have been declared "proscribed" and their leaders imprisoned, whilst the political wing of the IRA marches unmolested through British streets.

Clearly this persecution of patriots must cease. Those now in jail, mostly in Ulster but some, like John Gadd, in England, whose only crime is loyalty to our country must be freed at once. Their organisations should not only be **allowed** to operate by the security forces – they should be **helped** to operate. **What is needed, as far as the IRA scum is concerned, is not a Prevention of Terrorism Act but some prevention of terrorism action!**

Show the Flag

FLAGS, ROSETTES,
POLES ETC.
SEND STAMP FOR LIST

W. BROWN, 20 SUTTON WAY,
HESTON, MIDDX, TW5 0JA

READERS' LETTERS

All letters to Nationalism Today should be sent to The Editor, Hill House, Huntingfield, Halesworth, Suffolk.

CONGRATULATIONS!

Dear Sir,

Many thanks for sending me the first edition of *Nationalism Today*. I was most impressed with the high standard of the paper and hope it proves to be a success.

Alan Campbell,
BELFAST

Dear Sir,

Thank you for sending me a copy of your first issue, and congratulations on such an excellent production.

Among its many good features I liked the quality of print and presentation, the wide range and quantity of reading. It is interesting and what I feel is really important, it's stimulating. It should be a great asset to British Nationalism.

G. E. Dawson,
RHYL

Dear Sir,

Please accept my order for 12 issues of *Nationalism Today* for which I enclose a cheque for £5.

Nationalism Today promises to fulfil a useful function within the Nationalist arena, and I wish it every success.

John Henden,
BRISTOL

Dear Sir,

Congratulations on the birth of *Nationalism Today*.

Enclosed PO £10 for 12 months' subscription, from issue No. 2, plus donation of £5.

May all success attend your labours with *Nationalism Today*.

B. Minchenton
MANCHESTER

DR WILLIAM ARENS – 'INTELLECTUAL DRIP OF THE MONTH'

Dear Sir,

If there were such a thing as an 'Intellectual Drip of the Month' award then my nominee for this exclusive accolade would undoubtedly be Dr. William Arens for his article entitled *'Eating people isn't right'* which appeared in the September issue of *New Scientist*. Dr. Arens, an anthropologist of New York State University is, it would seem, a typical specimen of a species well known to the seasoned observer as 'Western Liberal Man'. This species can be easily identified by its Lemming-like death-wish attitude to both itself and the rest of what is commonly known as Western culture. It has the ability to twist fact in such a way as to make any universally held ideas or concepts conform to their own social and political prejudices and secondly possesses an unrelenting urge to elevate out of all proportion the cultures of others whilst denigrating their own.

The article in question states that there are no cannabalistic societies in existence today and further that none has ever existed – anywhere! The article asserts that these stories are in fact examples of Western 'subtle racism' which were concocted as items of propaganda to belittle the cultures of peoples inhabiting such areas as the Amazon and Congo basins and the jungles of New Guinea to name but a few. Throughout the article it is strongly implied that somehow these cultures are the equal to our own and from this it follows that the author believes that 'we are all equal' – whatever that may mean!

Whereas I am fully prepared to accept that Pygmies from the Congo and Head Hunters from New Guinea are equal in most respects to anthropologists from New York, I would like to point out to the Doctor that these people are his chosen equals, they are not necessarily ours!

Yours faithfully,

C. B. Wakley, Liverpool

BRITAIN OR EUROPE?

Dear Sir,

Congratulations on an excellent publication and I wish you every success for the future. *Nationalism Today* promises to play an important part in the building of the National Front. The production of a truly radical magazine like yours is well overdue, but now that we have one we can show that there really is an **economic** alternative to the alien evils of capitalism and communism.

However, I do have one criticism to make of the first issue, namely the thinly disguised anti-European sentiments expressed in a number of articles. No, I am not a Mosleyite but I do believe in a sort of confederation of European states so that Europe can once again become a force to be reckoned with in the field of world politics. Only if the nations of Western Europe unite in this way can we hope to resurrect the greatness of Northern Europe.

R. Adamson,
ABERDEEN

STOP PRESS *BULLDOG* TO BE PROSECUTED UNDER THE RACE ACT

AT THE TIME of going to press we have just learned that *Bulldog*, the paper of the Young National Front, is likely to be prosecuted under the infamous Race Relations Act.

On Wednesday June 4th, two plain clothed policemen, it is believed that they were from the Community Relations Department at New Scotland Yard, called at the home of *Bulldog* editor Joe Pearce. They informed him that issues 15 and 16 of *Bulldog* were being referred to the Director of Public Prosecutions and that the police were seeking to press charges.

It is obvious that the Establishment were beginning to get worried at the phenomenal growth of the YNF, and that this action is an attempt to stifle the success of the National Front's youth movement. *Bulldog* in particular, with its outspoken views on racial issues and its tremendous popularity with an ever increasing number of White youths all over the country, was worrying the ethno-masochists in the race relations industry.

But, regardless of the Race Relations Act, *Bulldog* will continue to publish racialist articles whenever it feels fit. **It is time that the little men of the Establishment learned that it will take more than oppressive laws of this nature to halt the onward march of the National Front.**

STUDENTS

If you are already or expect shortly to be enrolled as a student (full time) at a university, college or other centre for further education please REGISTER with the Young National Front Student Liaison Officer:

Nick Griffin,
Hill House,
Huntingfield,
Halesworth,
Suffolk.

Give your full name, your home address, your NF membership number and name of your home NF Branch; the name and address of the university/college you attend or will be attending and the address of your 'digs' if different; details of the subject you are/will be studying and the qualification you are seeking.

Rite Up

CAPITALISM: BRITISH AS VOT YOU ARE

EASTER DAY, for hundreds of years the most important date in the Christian West, and before that a day of Nordic pagan celebrations at the return of spring, appears to be of no importance to our financial masters.

In the 1980 *FINANCIAL TIMES DIARY* it was merely described as: "097-269 Tax week I/Public holiday (Passover, afternoon only) Israel."

Odd, isn't it? Why should the robber barons of International Finance ignore one of the most important dates of our traditional calendar, while bothering with the holiday of an obscure little religious sect at the far end of the Mediterranean? Answers, on a postcard, to The Board of Deputies, Woburn House, London W.1.

LENIN: THE SECRET CAPITALIST

DO YOU THINK Lenin **really** believed all the tripe he wrote on socialism and workers' control and all the rest of it? Then just read what he wrote in May 1918:

"Socialism can only be reached by the development of State Capitalism, the careful organisation of finance, control and discipline amongst the workers . . . To every deputation of workers which has come to me complaining that a factory was stopping work, I have said: 'If you desire the confiscation of your factory, I can sign a decree at once. But can you take over the management of the concern? Have you calculated what you can produce?' Then it has appeared that they are inexperienced in these matters."

Quite a management-orientated, anti-Trade Union fellow really, wasn't he? Maybe this was why he went cap in hand to the Wall Street banking firm of Kuhn, Loeb & Co. to help him overthrow the Tsarist Government.

But that's another tale.

"TORTURED WHILE TRYING TO ESCAPE"

"HE IS PARALYSED and incapable of performing any action on his own. He does not react to anything . . . his condition is the result of torture undergone while in Ramle prison.

"The military authorities refused to say why he had been arrested despite all our appeals . . . I appealed to the Minister of Defence and Army officers to release him a year ago, when it was still possible to save him. But I got no reply."

Thus the Mayor of a town in occupied territory discussed the case of a young man arrested for no reason and left no better than a cabbage by brutal torture.

France in 1942? The basis of yet another seven-part 'docu-drama' about the infamous SS? Not quite.

In fact, since the youth in question was a Palestinian and his torture last year was by the Israeli army, it is unlikely that Lew Grade will even make a short documentary about it. After all, one mustn't confuse war-crimes with heroic self-defence by only dozens of Zionist soldiers against one vicious and unarmed terrorist.

NEWS FROM ZIMBONGOBWE

AS THE FIRST £7 Million of British aid arrived in Zimbongobwe (formerly Rhodesia), Mr. Robert Mugabe announced that most of it will be spent on defence along the South African border. East German advisors are already arriving to help build de fence, which will be 30 feet high and have automatic spear-throwing devices.

DIARY OF A REVOLUTIONARY

HAD A LARGE yellow spot on my chin this morning. When I squeezed it, it burst, splattering little dots of pus all over the mirror, reminding me of the Glorious Proletarian Revolution, which erupted in Russia in 1917 and has since spread all over the world.

In the evening, Vanessa and I went to a "Revolutionary Party" in Golders Green. It was ever such fun until an unshaven crop-headed man in a dirty leather jacket who had been talking to Vanessa, turned out to be a woman and suggested that they should find her friend Bev and go somewhere quiet where the three of them could experiment with "touch therapy".

I told her that Vanessa was MY girlfriend, but she hit me on the nose and as I struggled off the floor, they **both** *accused me of being a sexist, racist, fascist, male chauvinist pig. Fortunately, the row woke up the parents of the Comrade who was giving the party and his father, screaming something strange about it being Saturday and "going out with one of our own girls for a change", chased us out of the house.*

Vanessa wouldn't speak to me all the way home, but when I told her about my plans to run away and join a commune, she calmed down. "Lucien," she sighed, putting her podgy hand in mine, "I think you're a committed human being"

MUGGERS MONOPOLY

WHEN you consider how many multi-racialist organisations there are in Britain and how much money they receive from government grants and big capitalist bodies like the Rowntree Trust, you realise how lucky we are that much of their effort is wasted on projects which are too ludicrous to do any real damage.

The latest absurdity to emerge from the Race Relations Industry is a board game produced by the Community Service Volunteers, based at 237 Pentonville Road, London N.1. The "game" is called *Hassle* and is "designed to make the players think about some of the problems faced by young Blacks in Britain today . . . racism, particularly in employment and housing."

Just think. For only £3.50 you can while away those long summer evenings "advancing to Southall" or building slums in Brixton. Feel the tension rise as you turn over the card; what will it be? "Get out of jail free" or "Mug an old lady – collect £10". And if you get bored with that, you can have a look at the four "action newspapers designed to stimulate discussion, special projects and role play" which come with the game.

Yes; this game, designed by the very lovely and very English drama advisor Pam Schweitser, really is a must! Hours of fun for the whole family; even grandma can join in the "role-playing" – as long as you're not too rough!

CRANKS' CORNER

THE WORKERS' Revolutionary Party, otherwise known as The Trotsky Rest Home for Impoverished Actresses and Plantation Owners, is showing its deep concern for White workers by running a series of lectures on the issues which really matter as unemployment reaches 1½ million.

In three lectures (entry fee only £1 each) held recently in the New Ambassadors Hotel, in the working class stronghold of Upper Woburn Place, London W.C.1, the burning issue of the day was "Dialectical Materialism – The Dialectical Path of the Cognition of Objective Reality".

Also discussed, according to the WRP paper *'Newsline'*, was a "sensuous dialectical reflection of the predominance of the unconscious objective world over conscious thought."

Sensuous, eh! I wonder if the lovely Vanessa was involved? Or perhaps even her highly masculine brother Corin, whose brilliant acting is rumoured to have won him half a dozen Oscars, as well as two Cecils and a Jeremy!

NF March for British Trawlermen

THREE HUNDRED National Front members marched to Fleetwood last month as a protest against the way in which the Common Market is threatening to destroy the British fishing industry.

The small Lancashire fishing town of Fleetwood, like the fishing communities of Hull and Grimsby, is faced with collapse and its inhabitants are faced with unemployment as a result of overfishing of British waters by European trawlers.

At the rally which followed the march, NF Chairman Andrew Brons blamed the EEC for the decline of the British fishing industry and he explained what steps a National Front government would take to protect Britain's trawlermen. He was warmly and enthusiastically received by the local community and one local fisherman even got up on the stage and made an impromptu speech in support of the National Front's policies.

This activity comes in the wake of the recent NF march through Corby in support of the steel workers, which called for the banning of steel imports. These two activities show an increased interest on the part of the National Front on important economic issues, and this must be welcomed by all radical Nationalists.

NF Chairman Andrew Brons speaks to local fishermen at the rally following the march

WHY WORLD CAPITALISTS CRAWL TO CHINESE COMMUNISTS

Continued from page 13

peoples that comprise it and with their branches reaching skywards to a better life for all members of the folk-community and the fulfilment of their national destinies. A West in which all those who labour by hand and brain for the common good share in the fruits of the wealth they have created and play a part in the guidance of the land in which they live according to their wisdom and abilities. The true "Workers' Paradise", the West that might be, not the West that is.

The West that is, the West of sinister Zurich gnomes, ruthless alien money-grabbing plutocrats, swindling "financiers" who make vast fortunes without creating the least quantity of goods and services for the working folk whose economic lifeblood they suck to enjoy. The West that is represented in this country by penguin-suited Tory Champagne Charlies and toad-like "Socialist" millionaires who, having financed the conning of British workers for a few years are rewarded by being permitted to foul the air of the House of Lords with their evil croaks and gutteral foreign accents. This West is no foe of Communism, and the Communists do not seek to destroy it. Instead, it seeks to destroy us.

Indeed, whatever the poor fools who were its cannon-fodder in revolution, subversion and war may have believed, Soviet and Chinese Communism is not the enemy but the logical consummation of Capitalism. Throughout the growth of Capitalism, there has been a remorseless progression towards the concentration of more and more economic power in the hands of fewer and fewer people. Fewer and fewer big capitalist combines tended to own more and more of the economy, while at the same time within each combine the role of individual free enterprise tended to diminish in favour of faceless committees, trusts and "share-holders' representatives", often representing other combines. In modern Communist states, this has reached its logical conclusion: all economic power is concentrated in the hands of one Capitalist combine, "the State", controlled by a tiny clique of party bosses, sometimes indeed one man, with all trace of individuality or free enterprise strangled in a web of corporate bureaucracy that would be the envy of Ford, IBM or ITT. The "labour relations" prevailing in Communist states, where the workers are reduced to slaves, cowed and lashed to the assembly line by the fear of butchery, torture and Red terror, shot for "economic sabotage" if they strike, is the ultimate in "business efficiency" (though, like Capitalism in general, it leads to an inefficient and wasteful economic mess). No "Union troublemakers" or "bolshie shop stewards" in the Communist Empires!

GLOBAL BOARD OF DIRECTORS

No wonder, when they find a Communist power which, unlike the Soviets, is safely remote from their home base and seeks to expand only (for now!) at the expense of areas such as Siberia, fairly free of Western investment on a large scale, the Capitalists of the West rush to flatter it, arm it, trade with it and even invite it onto their global "Board of Directors". In the short term, trade and investment in a land where the workers are actual slaves as well as wage-slaves promises a massive profit for all (except us, but we don't matter). And in the long term, the Chinese Empire, in which a tiny elite lord it in power and wealth over a vast racially-mongrelised mass of serfs is what, through ever-increasing finance- and State-Capitalist power and the steady reduction of the ordinary working man's say in the running of the plant whence he derives his livelihood, together with massive importation of cheap Coloured labour and its mongrelisation with brainwashed natives, is the future the IMF and the Communist Party together have in store for us all. Unless we do something to stop them.

As in Orwell's story, Communist pigs and Capitalist farmers are fit company for each other. It is us poor workhorses they both plan to send to the knacker's yard. Are we going to go meekly to the slaughter, or by joining and fighting with the radical nationalist movement, are we going to give the one good solid kick with which the meekest workhorse can flatten the fattest pig and the biggest farmer? It's your choice – it's our future . . .

ADVERTISEMENTS

Nationalism Today welcomes enquiries from potential advertisers, to whom rates will be sent on request. Advertising matter, accompanied by pre-payment, must be submitted at least one month prior to the publishing date (normally the first day of each month) of the issue for which the advertisement is intended. The Editors reserve the right to refuse to publish advertisements submitted.

Nationalist Books

CONSPIRACY AGAINST GOD AND MAN Clarence Kelly £4.80 (46p)

This is a scholarly, yet thoroughly readable, account of the development and ideology of illuminism by an American clergyman who has carefully researched the subject. An enormous range of sources has been used to provide a synthesis of what is known of the topic and the continuity of the subversive conspiracy up to the present day is clearly established. 1974, 264pp.

THE WORLDWIDE CONSPIRACY Ivor Benson £1.20 (13½p)

We are pleased to offer again, after a period of unavailability, this book that has been immensely popular. The author is a distinguished South African journalist, editor of the excellent periodical *Behind The News* and he describes clearly and graphically the corruption, racial, political and moral of the Western World. And, as the title makes clear, this process is not a natural or accidental one. 1972, 104pp.

BETRAYAL BY RULERS Michel Sturdza £2.40 (46p)

The former Foreign Minister of Rumania exposes the treachery and folly of Western rulers, particularly those of the United States, that has enabled the Communists to enslave millions. 1976, 335pp.

NATIONALIST BOOKS, PO Box 163, Excalibur House, 73 Great Eastern Street, London EC2A 3AU.

Prices in brackets are postal costs. Please make cheques and postal orders payable to Nationalist Books.

A PERSONAL STATEMENT

BY JOE PEARCE

IT IS with some regret that I have to announce my resignation from the position of YNF National Organiser. The decision to resign was made by myself after considerable thought, but I felt that under the circumstances I had no other course of action to take. **However, I would like to make it quite clear that my decision has nothing to do with any factional squabbles or with any loss of commitment on my part.** On the contrary, my resignation is mainly due to **increased** commitments.

The decision was not an easy one but it was, I believe, a necessary one. I have been YNF National Organiser for 2½ years, during which time I helped to organise a variety of youth activities. However, since Christmas there has been a drop in the number of youth activities, and I was also experiencing difficulties in continuing to produce *Bulldog* on a regular monthly basis. It was clear to me that it was impossible to continue to do both: the Rock Against Communism concert had been a full time job for weeks before the event and RAC alone, were it to be run properly, would require a full time worker. For this reason I had to make the choice between *Bulldog* and the YNF Organiser's job. I chose the former.

It was clear to me that it would be unfair and unwise for me to hold down a job which I didn't feel fully able to carry out to the best of my ability. Therefore I must resign from the YNF Organiser's job. Needless to say, whoever succeeds me in the position can rely on my fullest support and assistance.

Thank You!

ALL of the editorial staff of *Nationalism Today* would like to say thank you to fellow Nationalists all over the country for the really outstanding efforts they made last month to help us build up the magazine. We had to get ourselves up to the neck in debt to bring out the first issue, which cost in excess of £400 to produce, and we were apprehensive about the response to our pleas for funds to pay back the overheads and move the magazine into the black. As usual, though, Nationalists rose to the occasion and gave as generously as their pockets would allow. So much so that there simply is not room to list all the donations as we promised last issue. However, as much as we were pleasantly surprised by the generosity over the last month, we still need more money if we are to continue to publish regularly. So please try to dig deep into your pockets again and send a donation to the *Nationalism Today* Fighting Fund.

Only if we all work together, doing all we can to help, can we hope to beat the twin evils of Communism and International Finance Capitalism.

SPREAD THE RADICAL NATIONALIST WORD BUY NATIONALISM TODAY IN BULK

Nationalism Today has really annoyed and confused the Reds! Our Radical viewpoint has destroyed their shallow and pathetic claims that we are "the tools of capitalism" and a "bosses' front".

We have proved beyond doubt in *Nationalism Today* that Communism and Capitalism go hand in hand, and they can and do happily co-exist. We have also proved that Radical Nationalist policies represent the only alternative to both.

Therefore it is up to all Nationalists to help build up *Nationalism Today,* the Radical voice of British Nationalism. This can best be done by ordering bulk supplies (see rates below).

BULK RATES

10 copies £ 2.60 + 75p post
20 copies £ 4.80 + £1.21p post
30 copies £ 7.20 + £1.21p post
40 copies £ 9.60 + £1.43p post
50 copies £11.00 + £1.43p post
60 copies £13.20 + £1.60p post
70 copies £15.40 + £1.60p post
80 copies £17.60 + £1.73p post
90 copies £19.80 + £1.73p post
100 copies £21.00 + £1.86p post
110 copies £23.10 + £1.86p post
120 copies £25.20 + £1.99p post
200-499 copies: 19p each) Postal rates
500 copies and over: 18p each) apply for details

All cheques or postal orders should be made out to *Nationalism Today* and sent to *Nationalism Today,* Hill House, Huntingfield, Halesworth, Suffolk.

Subscribe to *Nationalism Today*

If you want to be sure of obtaining a copy of *Nationalism Today* every month, why not take out a subscription?

For only £5 (or £10 if you live overseas) you will be listed as a subscriber, which will guarantee you a copy of every issue for the next twelve issues – delivered through the post.

Just fill in the form below and send it to *Nationalism Today*, Hill House, Huntingfield, Halesworth, Suffolk.

We need the money from subscriptions to be able to pay the high cost of printing, typesetting etc. So, if you become a subscriber you will not only be sure of receiving your copy, but you will also be helping us to build Britain's newest Radical Nationalist magazine.

Fill in the form below and do your bit to help the Nationalist Cause!

NAME .....

ADDRESS .....

.....

I enclose my annual subscription of £ ..... . (£5 British Isles, £10 Overseas).

Post to: Nationalism Today, Hill House, Huntingfield, Halesworth, Suffolk.

COMMUNISTS–THE MERCENARY DEFENDERS OF CAPITALISM

COMMUNISTS call opponents of immigration "fascists", yet immigration is a policy used primarily by *capitalism* to keep down the general domestic level of wages by swelling the pool of available labour.

Whatever Communists say or claim to believe in, their *true role* in Britain today is to act as the first line of defence for capitalism.

The presence of millions of immigrants in Britain is used by the Establishment as an excuse for undermining our hard-won rights of free speech and strengthening its own power through the anti-democratic "Race Relations" Acts. If you want to know where the Communists stand, then look at their involvement in the Establishment's "Community Relations" brainwashing set-up, or how Communists are employed and encouraged in TV, radio, the press and education.

When Nationalists focus upon the immigration question, they hit at one of the most vulnerable and revealing features of the Establishment's economic and social system. The response of the Establishment is, first, to use their hired inkslingers and trendies in the media to concoct lies and misrepresentations, and, secondly, to encourage Communists to physically intimidate those who openly oppose capitalism's immigration policy.

Capitalism is now international in its scope, moving money from country to country (for its own benefit – and everyone else's loss) and building up powerful financial, industrial and marketing empires through massive and far-reaching multi-national companies and conglomerates.

"FREE FLOW"

Only one barrier exists to prevent the complete "free flow" of capital and labour which would reduce the living standards of all working people to the lowest possible world level, that barrier is the existence of independent nations with their own governments under democratic control.

Bourgeois Trotskyites act as capitalism's shock troops

As long as nations exist, there is always the possibility that a movement of national liberation will arise which will begin the overthrow of the international capitalist system. It is therefore necessary for capitalism to try to brainwash working people against any defence of racial character or national identity. That is why it uses Communists – in the media and in the schools and universities – to promote internationalism and multi-racialism. That is why a rag-bag of Communist dominated Left bourgeois students and backward Red elements of the lumpen proletariat can turn up at the greasing of a palm to demonstrate "against racialism".

Fifty years ago the ownership of industrial capitalist production and marketing enterprise was largely confined within national state boundaries. During the economic upheaval, slump and unemployment, resulting from the failure of Parliamentary regimes to control the activities of finance after World War One, industrial capitalists funded fascist organisations of patriotic militia to take over government and preserve the capitalist order through authoritarian dictatorship.

MONETARY COLLAPSE

Now that finance capitalism has virtually completed its domination of industry on a worldwide scale, but again faces crisis through the threatening collapse of the world monetary system and through speculation in commodities which has caused raw material prices to rocket, it again turns to the use of open force in the defence of its system.

This time, however, capitalism and national patriotism are on opposite sides. So just as capitalism nurtured and supported fascism in the twenties and thirties, today it underwrites the "anti-racist" activities of the Communists. This is why Communists are so keen to scream "fascist! fascist!" and to wave anti-racialist placards – liberally splattered with swastikas and references to Hitler. They are desperately trying to stick the label and symbolism of fascism onto their opponents because objectively they themselves have now taken on the role of the pre-war fascists of mercenary defenders of capitalism.

This is a fact which British Nationalist trades unionists must make known throughout the Labour movement, both to white collar and blue collar workers.

Find out about the National Front

Send 20p for information pack.

To:
P.O. BOX 163
LONDON E.C.2.
(Tel. 01-739 2187)

Name.....

Address.....

.....

.....

I enclose.....

Printed and Published by N.T. Press, Halesworth, Suffolk